



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/715,428	11/15/2000	Ole Bentz	500845.01	7717

27076 7590 01/27/2003

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT
SUITE 3400
1420 FIFTH AVENUE
SEATTLE, WA 98101

EXAMINER

WANG, JIN CHENG

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2672

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/715,428

Applicant(s)

BENTZ, OLE

Examiner

Jin-Cheng Wang

Art Unit

2672

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) ____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Papers Submitted

1. Receipt of the following papers is hereby acknowledged, all of which have been placed of record in the file:

Paper No. 2 - Change of Address as received on 05/29/02.

Paper No. 3 - Pre-amendment A as received on 11/15/00.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "B" in lines 3 and 7, "A in lines 4 and 7, "(A<0)" in lines 4 and 6, and "(B<0)" in line 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2672

5. Claims 1-3, 10, 20-21, 25-28, and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grossman et al. U.S. Pat. No. 5,230,039.

6. Claim 1:

The Grossman reference teaches a method for calculating texture coordinates (see the abstract) in a graphics processing system (figure 1) for a texture map having an acceptable range of coordinate values, comprising remapping (clamping) an input texture coordinate value located outside the acceptable range of coordinate values (pixels outside the range of the texture map) to a corresponding texture coordinate located within the acceptable range of coordinate values (e.g., a border texture value) based on the sign of the input texture coordinate value (i.e., A sign bit 308 in figure 3a completes the field definition for coordinate 301 by indicating a negative or positive coordinate value, see column 9, lines 30-41) and location of the input texture coordinate value relative to the acceptable range (column 9, lines 60-67, column 10, lines 1-49).

Claim 2:

The claim 2 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 1 except additional claimed limitation of determining whether the input texture coordinate value is within one of a plurality of predefined negative or positive input ranges or the acceptable range; calculating a texture coordinate value of each of the predefined input ranges; and selecting the corresponding texture coordinate from the calculated texture coordinate values and the input texture coordinate value based on the sign of the input texture coordinate value and the calculated texture coordinate values. However, the Grossman reference further discloses the claimed limitation of determining whether the input texture coordinate value is within one of a plurality of predefined negative or positive input ranges or the acceptable range (i.e., A sign bit 308 in figure 3a

Art Unit: 2672

completes the field definition for coordinate 301 by indicating a negative or positive coordinate value, see column 9, lines 30-41); calculating a texture coordinate value of each of the predefined input ranges (column 9, lines 52-67, and column 10, lines 1-16); and selecting the corresponding texture coordinate from the calculated texture coordinate values and the input texture coordinate value based on the sign of the input texture coordinate value and the calculated texture coordinate values (column 9, lines 52-67, and column 10, lines 1-16).

Claim 3:

The claim 3 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 2 except additional claimed limitation of remapping being performed for each axis of the texture map. However, the Grossman reference further discloses the claimed limitation of remapping being performed for each axis of the texture map (column 9, lines 10-41).

7. Claim 10:

The Grossman reference teaches a method of calculating a texture coordinate (see the abstract) for a texture map from an input texture coordinate value located in one of a plurality of predefined input ranges (column 9, lines 5-41), comprising

Calculating a plurality of texture coordinate values corresponding to the plurality of predefined input coordinate ranges in accordance with the sign of the input coordinate value (column 9, lines 5-41);

Selecting an output texture coordinate from the plurality of calculated texture coordinate values and the input texture coordinate value based on the sign of the input texture coordinate and the sign of the calculated texture coordinate values (column 9, lines 52-67, and column 10, lines 1-16).

Art Unit: 2672

Claim 20:

The claim 20 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 10 except additional claimed limitation of determining, calculating, and selecting being repeated for each axis of the texture map. However, the Grossman reference further discloses the claimed limitation of determining, calculating, and selecting being repeated for each axis of the texture map (column 11, lines 1-28).

Claim 21:

The Grossman reference teaches a method for calculating texture coordinates (see the abstract) in a graphics processing system (figure 1), wherein texture coordinates are within an acceptable range of texture coordinates (column 9, lines 5-41), comprising:

Determining whether an input texture coordinate is located in the acceptable range of texture coordinates (column 9, lines 5-41),

Calculating a coordinate value for each of the negative input ranges in accordance with the sign of the input texture coordinate (column 9, lines 5-41); and

Selecting an output texture coordinate from the calculated coordinate values and the input texture coordinate (column 9, lines 5-41) in accordance with the sign of the input texture coordinate and the calculated coordinate values and a selected addressing mode (column 9, lines 5-67, and column 10, lines 1-49).

Claim 25:

The claim 25 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 21 except additional claimed limitation of determining, calculating, and selecting being repeated for each axis of the texture map. However, the Grossman reference further discloses the claimed

Art Unit: 2672

limitation of determining, calculating, and selecting being repeated for each axis of the texture map (column 11, lines 1-28).

8. Claim 26:

The Grossman reference has taught a texture address circuit (figures 4-5) for calculating texture coordinates for a texture map having a size and an acceptable range of input coordinate values (column 10, lines 28-49), the circuit comprising:

A plurality of coordinate calculation circuits (figure 4) corresponding to a plurality of input coordinate ranges defined outside of the acceptable range for both negative and positive input coordinate values (column 10, lines 28-49), each coordinate calculation circuit (Mask register 430 and compare register 432) coupled to receive a signal corresponding to the sign of the input coordinate value and a respective texture size value corresponding to a multiple of the size of the texture map (column 10, lines 28-49), each coordinate calculation circuit providing a respective coordinate output value (column 10, lines 28-49);

A selection circuit (e.g., compare registers 432 and 433) coupled to receive as input values the input coordinate (column 10, lines 52-67) and the coordinate output values of the plurality of coordinate calculation circuits (column 11, lines 1-28), the selection circuit selecting one of the input values as an output texture coordinate value (e.g., the compare value obtained from a compare register is tested against the masked value produced in processing block 503 and the result of this test determines whether or not an input coordinate is within a particular s, t coordinate range in which texturing is enabled. See column 11, lines 1-28); and

Select logic (figures 5a and 5b) coupled to the selection circuit and further coupled to receive input signals corresponding the sign of the input coordinate value (e.g., the outside map

Art Unit: 2672

factor field and the sign bit of the input coordinate is obtained at processing block 502) and the signs of the coordinate output values (A mask value is obtained from mask register A or mask register B, see column 11, lines 1-7), the select logic providing a selection signal commanding the selection circuit to select one of the input values as the output texture coordinate in accordance with the received input signals (column 10, lines 52-67, and column 11, lines 1-28).

Claim 27:

The claim 27 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 26 except additional claimed limitation of the first and second coordinate calculation circuits of the plurality. However the Grossman reference further discloses the claimed limitation of the first and second coordinate calculation circuits of the plurality (column 10, lines 52-67, and column 11, lines 1-28) comprising:

A negating circuit coupled to receive a respective texture size value and the signal corresponding to the sign of the input coordinate value, the negating circuit generating as an output value a positive or negative respective texture size value in accordance with the sign of the input coordinate value (column 10, lines 4-49); and

A summing circuit having a first input coupled to receive the output value of the negating circuit and a second input for receiving a second input value, the summing circuit further having an output to provide the sum of the output value of the negating circuit and a value received by at the second input (column 10, lines 52-67, and column 11, lines 1-28).

Claim 28:

The Grossman reference teaches the negate circuit comprising an inverter and an exclusive OR gate (column 10, lines 52-67, and column 11, lines 1-28).

Art Unit: 2672

Claim 33:

The Grossman reference teaches the select logic generates a selection signal to select the output texture coordinate (column 10, lines 52-67, and column 11, lines 1-28).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 4-9, 11-19, 22-24, 29-32, and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grossman et al. U.S. Pat. No. 5,230,039.

11. Claims 4-9:

(a) The claims 4-9 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 2 except additional claimed limitation of the specific formula for calculating the texture coordinates as recited in claims 4, 6, and 8 and the specific way of selecting the corresponding texture coordinates as recited in claims 5, 7 and 9.

(b) However, the Grossman reference is silent on the specific formula for calculating the texture coordinates and the specific way of selecting the corresponding texture coordinates.

(c) The Dye reference has taught the specific formula for calculating the texture coordinates and the specific way of selecting the corresponding texture coordinates (see for example columns 25-36).

(d) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated the Dye's specific formula for calculating the texture coordinates and specific way of selecting the corresponding texture coordinates into Grossman et al.'s texture addressing circuit because Grossman et al suggest the use of texture clamping (column 10, lines 4-16), the texture addressing circuit in figure 4, and the processing logic in figures 5a and 5b. With regards to the specific formula for calculating the texture coordinates, Grossman et al. further suggest linear interpolation of texture coordinates (column 9, lines 5-9) and the field definitions within an input texture map coordinate that supports clamping and a multi-pass technique for tiling large texture maps wherein an field is used to expand the address space of textures beyond the zero to one coordinate range stored in a hardware texture map (column 9, lines 10-41). With regards to the specific way of selecting texture coordinates, Grossman et al. further suggest in the processing logic that the compare value obtained from a compare register is tested against the masked value produced in processing block 503 and the result of this test determines whether or not an input coordinate is within a particular s,t coordinate range in which texturing is enabled (column 11, lines 1-28). Finally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts to have incorporated the specific formula of calculating texture coordinates together with the specific way of selecting texture coordinates for texture remapping (or clamping) so that out-of-range texture coordinates can be re-mapped to the range of a texture map including the border of the range of the texture map. It is noted that the Grossman's reference deal with the same subject matter relating to texture addressing circuit in a graphics processing system.

(e) One having the ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it would have provided a means for controlling texture mapping of pixels outside the range of the

Art Unit: 2672

texture map (column 10, lines 4-16) and realistic portrayal of the actual finished product in texture mapping (column 1, lines 31-63).

12. Claims 11-16:

(a) The claims 11-16 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 10 except additional claimed limitation of the specific formula for calculating the texture coordinates as recited in claims 11, 13, and 15 and the specific way of selecting the corresponding texture coordinates as recited in claims 12, 14 and 16.

(b) However, the Grossman reference is silent on the specific formula for calculating the texture coordinates and the specific way of selecting the corresponding texture coordinates.

(c) The Dye reference has taught the specific formula for calculating the texture coordinates and the specific way of selecting the corresponding texture coordinates (see for example columns 25-36).

(d) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated the Dye's specific formula for calculating the texture coordinates and specific way of selecting the corresponding texture coordinates into Grossman et al.'s texture addressing circuit because Grossman et al suggest the use of texture clamping (column 10, lines 4-16), the texture addressing circuit in figure 4, and the processing logic in figures 5a and 5b. With regards to the specific formula for calculating the texture coordinates, Grossman et al. further suggest linear interpolation of texture coordinates (column 9, lines 5-9) and the field definitions within an input texture map coordinate that supports clamping and a multi-pass technique for tiling large texture maps wherein an field is used to expand the address space of textures beyond the zero to one coordinate range stored in a hardware texture map (column 9, lines 10-41). With regards to the

Art Unit: 2672

specific way of selecting texture coordinates, Grossman et al. further suggest in the processing logic that the compare value obtained from a compare register is tested against the masked value produced in processing block 503 and the result of this test determines whether or not an input coordinate is within a particular s,t coordinate range in which texturing is enabled (column 11, lines 1-28). Finally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts to have incorporated the specific formula of calculating texture coordinates together with the specific way of selecting texture coordinates for texture remapping (or clamping) so that out-of-range texture coordinates can be re-mapped to the range of a texture map including the border of the range of the texture map. It is noted that the Grossman's reference deal with the same subject matter relating to texture addressing circuit in a graphics processing system.

(e) One having the ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it would have provided a means for controlling texture mapping of pixels outside the range of the texture map (column 10, lines 4-16) and realistic portrayal of the actual finished product in texture mapping (column 1, lines 31-63).

Claim 17:

The claim 17 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 16 except additional claimed limitation of clamping the selected output texture coordinate comprising clamping the output texture coordinate to an edge value along an edge of the texture map. However, the Grossman reference further discloses the claimed limitation of clamping the selected output texture coordinate comprising clamping the output texture coordinate to an edge value along an edge of the texture map (column 10, lines 4-16).

Claim 18:

The claim 18 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 16 except additional claimed limitation of clamping the selected output texture coordinate comprising clamping the output texture coordinate to a border value one texel beyond the texture map. However, the Grossman reference further discloses the claimed limitation of clamping the selected output texture coordinate comprising clamping the output texture coordinate to a border value one texel beyond the texture map (column 10, lines 4-16).

Claim 19:

The claim 19 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 16 except additional claimed limitation of clamping the selected output texture coordinate comprising clamping the output texture coordinate to a border value half of a texel beyond the texture map. However, the Grossman reference further discloses the claimed limitation of clamping the selected output texture coordinate comprising clamping the output texture coordinate to a border value half of a texel beyond the texture map (column 10, lines 4-16).

13. Claims 22-23:

(a) The claim 22 or 23 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 21 except additional claimed limitation of the specific formula for calculating the texture coordinates as recited in claim 22 or the specific way of selecting the corresponding texture coordinates as recited in claim 23.

(b) However, the Grossman reference is silent on the specific formula for calculating the texture coordinates and the specific way of selecting the corresponding texture coordinates.

(c) The Dye reference has taught the specific formula for calculating the texture coordinates and the specific way of selecting the corresponding texture coordinates (see for example columns 25-36).

(d) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated the Dye's specific formula for calculating the texture coordinates and specific way of selecting the corresponding texture coordinates into Grossman et al.'s texture addressing circuit because Grossman et al suggest the use of texture clamping (column 10, lines 4-16), the texture addressing circuit in figure 4, and the processing logic in figures 5a and 5b. With regards to the specific formula for calculating the texture coordinates, Grossman et al. further suggest linear interpolation of texture coordinates (column 9, lines 5-9) and the field definitions within an input texture map coordinate that supports clamping and a multi-pass technique for tiling large texture maps wherein an field is used to expand the address space of textures beyond the zero to one coordinate range stored in a hardware texture map (column 9, lines 10-41). With regards to the specific way of selecting texture coordinates, Grossman et al. further suggest in the processing logic that the compare value obtained from a compare register is tested against the masked value produced in processing block 503 and the result of this test determines whether or not an input coordinate is within a particular s,t coordinate range in which texturing is enabled (column 11, lines 1-28). Finally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts to have incorporated the specific formula of calculating texture coordinates together with the specific way of selecting texture coordinates for texture remapping (or clamping) so that out-of-range texture coordinates can be re-mapped to the range of a texture map including the border of the

Art Unit: 2672

range of the texture map. It is noted that the Grossman's reference deal with the same subject matter relating to texture addressing circuit in a graphics processing system.

(e) One having the ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it would have provided a means for controlling texture mapping of pixels outside the range of the texture map (column 10, lines 4-16) and realistic portrayal of the actual finished product in texture mapping (column 1, lines 31-63).

Claim 24:

The claim 24 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 23 except additional claimed limitation of clamping the selected output texture coordinate to a clamped value in the third addressing mode. However, the Grossman reference further discloses the claimed limitation of clamping the selected output texture coordinate to a clamped value in the third addressing mode (column 10, lines 4-16).

14. Claims 29-32 and 34-35:

(a) The claims 29-32 and 34 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 27 except additional claimed limitation of the specific formula for calculating the texture coordinates and the specific way of selecting the corresponding texture coordinates.

(b) However, the Grossman reference is silent on the specific formula for calculating the texture coordinates and the specific way of selecting the corresponding texture coordinates.

(c) The Dye reference has taught the specific formula for calculating the texture coordinates and the specific way of selecting the corresponding texture coordinates (see for example columns 25-36).

(d) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated the Dye's specific formula for calculating the texture coordinates and specific way of selecting the corresponding texture coordinates into Grossman et al.'s texture addressing circuit because Grossman et al suggest the use of texture clamping (column 10, lines 4-16), the texture addressing circuit in figure 4, and the processing logic in figures 5a and 5b. With regards to the specific formula for calculating the texture coordinates, Grossman et al. further suggest linear interpolation of texture coordinates (column 9, lines 5-9) and the field definitions within an input texture map coordinate that supports clamping and a multi-pass technique for tiling large texture maps wherein an field is used to expand the address space of textures beyond the zero to one coordinate range stored in a hardware texture map (column 9, lines 10-41). With regards to the specific way of selecting texture coordinates, Grossman et al. further suggest in the processing logic that the compare value obtained from a compare register is tested against the masked value produced in processing block 503 and the result of this test determines whether or not an input coordinate is within a particular s,t coordinate range in which texturing is enabled (column 11, lines 1-28). Finally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the arts to have incorporated the specific formula of calculating texture coordinates together with the specific way of selecting texture coordinates for texture remapping (or clamping) so that out-of-range texture coordinates can be re-mapped to the range of a texture map including the border of the range of the texture map. It is noted that the Grossman's reference deal with the same subject matter relating to texture addressing circuit in a graphics processing system.

(e) One having the ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this because it would have provided a means for controlling texture mapping of pixels outside the range of the

Art Unit: 2672

texture map (column 10, lines 4-16) and realistic portrayal of the actual finished product in texture mapping (column 1, lines 31-63).

Claim 35:

The claim 35 encompasses the same scope of invention as that of claim 34 except additional claimed limitation of a clamping circuit coupled to receive the output texture coordinate of the selection circuit when in the clamping mode and provide a clamped output texture coordinate. However, the Grossman reference further discloses the claimed limitation of a clamping circuit coupled to receive the output texture coordinate of the selection circuit when in the clamping mode and provide a clamped output texture coordinate (column 10, lines 4-16).

Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kacevas et al. U.S. Pat. No. 6,429,873 discloses a method and circuit for determining the address of texture maps.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jin-Cheng Wang whose telephone number is (703) 605-1213.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Razavi can be reached on (703) 305-4713. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-6606 for regular communications and (703) 308-6606 for After Final communications.

- Application/Control Number: 09/715,428
Art Unit: 2672

Page 17

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 395-3900.

jcw
January 22, 2003



MICHAEL RAZAVI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600